

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2004

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/599,948		06/23/2000	Simon Furmidge	367.38669X00 8956	
20457	7590	02/17/2004		EXAM	INER
		RY, STOUT & K	TRAN, PABLO N		
SUITE 1800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889			2685	/1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/599,948	FURMIDGE, SIMON
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Pablo N Tran	2685
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tingly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. 8 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 L</u> 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims	=x pario quayro, 1000 0.5. 11, 10	0.0.210.
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er. cepted or b) objected to by the led drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received.  ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2685

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Horie et al.* (5,568,098) in view of *Otaka* (6,215,989).

As per claims 1 and 7, *Horie et al.* disclose a transmitter for a portable radio device comprising a modulator, including a switching circuit, having a first port for inputting a baseband signal and a second port for inputting a local oscillator signal to the switching circuit which provide a conductance waveform at a frequency multiple of the local oscillator signal for up-converting the baseband signal to a radio frequency modulated carrier (fig. 5, col. 3/ln. 58-col. 4/ln. 67).

Horie et al. do not explicitly disclose such controls the gain of the modular to control the output level of the modulator. However, such gain control method of the modulator is well known in the art, as disclosed by *Otaka* (fig. 6-10, col. 7/ln. 29-col. 10/ln. 48). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide such method of gains control, as taught by *Otaka*, to the transmitter of *Horie et* 

Art Unit: 2685

al. to control input amplitude signal at an optimum gain level while minimizing the reduction of the S/N ratio.

As per claims 2 and 8, the modified systems of *Horie et al.* disclose a local oscillator signal drives the switching means at a multiple of its frequency (see *Horie et al.*, fig. 5, col. 3/ln. 58-col. 4/ln. 67).

As per claims 3 and 9, the modified systems of *Horie et al.* disclose means for controlling the gain of the modulator comprises current control means (see *Otaka*, fig. 6-10, col. 7/ln. 29-col. 10/ln. 48).

3. Claims 4-6 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Horie et al.* (5,568,098) in view of *Otaka* (6,215,989) and further in view of *Hickman* (LTPs and active double balanced mixers, vol. 99, no. 1683, pg 126-128).

As per claims 4-5 and 10-11, the modified systems of *Horie et al.* do not specifically disclosed the transmitter having two cross-connected long tail pairs of bipolar transistors. *Hickman* disclosed such cross-connected long tail pairs of bipolar transistors. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide cross-connected long tail pairs of bipolar transistors, as discussed in *Hickman*, to the transmitter of the modified systems of *Horie et al.* to minimized out-of-band emissions in a subsequent mixing with a carrier signal to generate a frequency modulated signal.

As per claims 6 and 12, as stated above in claim 1, the modified systems of

Horie et al. do not explicitly disclosed the LO signal is provided at an even

multiplication. However, it is common knowledge in the art that the reference frequency

Art Unit: 2685

generator is set to one of the LO frequencies (for example, 7.6MHZ, 19khz, or 38khz) are purely dependent on the frequency requirement of the particular transmitter availability of reference frequency generator. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the reference frequency generator at even multiplication in order to expand the communication system application to have better flexibility or more versatility so that various reference frequency generators can be used for the FM transmitter systems.

4. Claims 1-3 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Rozenblit et al.* (6,658,237) in view of *Otaka* (6,215,989).

As per claims 1 and 7, *Rozenblit et al.* disclose a transmitter for a portable radio device comprising a modulator, including a switching circuit, having a first port for inputting a baseband signal and a second port for inputting a local oscillator signal to the switching circuit which provide a conductance waveform at a frequency multiple of the local oscillator signal for up-converting the baseband signal to a radio frequency modulated carrier (fig. 6, col. 12/ln. 15-col. 13/ln. 54, col. 20/ln. 36-47).

Rozenblit et al. do not explicitly disclose such controls the gain of the modular to control the output level of the modulator. However, such gain control method of the modulator is well known in the art, as disclosed by *Otaka* (fig. 6-10, col. 7/ln. 29-col. 10/ln. 48). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide such method of gains control, as taught by *Otaka*, to the transmitter of *Rozenblit et al.* to control input amplitude signal at an optimum gain level while minimizing the reduction of the S/N ratio.

Art Unit: 2685

As per claims 2 and 8, the modified systems of *Rozenblit et al.* disclose a local oscillator signal drives the switching means at a multiple of its frequency (see *Rozenblit et al.*, fig. 6, col. 12/ln. 15-col. 13/ln. 54, col. 20/ln. 36-47).

As per claims 3 and 9, the modified systems of *Rozenblit et al.* disclose means for controlling the gain of the modulator comprises current control means (see *Otaka*, fig. 6-10, col. 7/ln. 29-col. 10/ln. 48).

5. Claims 4-6 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Rozenblit et al.* (6,658,237) in view of *Otaka* (6,215,989) and further in view of *Hickman* (LTPs and active double balanced mixers, vol. 99, no. 1683, pg 126-128).

As per claims 4-5 and 10-11, the modified systems of *Rozenblit et al.* do not specifically disclosed the transmitter having two cross-connected long tail pairs of bipolar transistors. *Hickman* disclosed such cross-connected long tail pairs of bipolar transistors. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide cross-connected long tail pairs of bipolar transistors, as discussed in *Hickman*, to the transmitter of the modified systems of *Rozenblit et al.* to minimized out-of-band emissions in a subsequent mixing with a carrier signal to generate a frequency modulated signal.

As per claims 6 and 12, as stated above in claim 1, the modified systems of *Rozenblit et al.* do not explicitly disclosed the LO signal is provided at an even multiplication. However, it is common knowledge in the art that the reference frequency generator is set to one of the LO frequencies (for example, 7.6MHZ, 19khz, or 38khz) are purely dependent on the frequency requirement of the particular transmitter

Art Unit: 2685

availability of reference frequency generator. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the reference frequency generator at even multiplication in order to expand the communication system application to have better flexibility or more versatility so that various reference frequency generators can be used for the FM transmitter systems.

Page 6

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pablo Tran whose telephone number is (703)308-7941. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached at (703)305-4385.

### Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

#### or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

PABLO N.TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

February 11, 2004

402685